

# DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

Y 1 34 34		001 2 0 <sub>1900</sub>	
In the Matter of Petition for Waiver Filed by	) ) )	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY	
San Carlos Apache Telecommunications Utility, Inc.	) )	AAD 96-52	
Concerning the Definition of "Study Area" Contained in the Part 36 Appendix-Glossary of the Commission's Rules	) )	CC: 96-45	
		VV. 30-43	

To: Chief, Common Carrier Bureau

# REQUEST FOR REMOVAL OF WAIVER CONDITION CONSISTENT WITH COMMISSION POLICY

San Carlos Apache Telecommunications Utility, Inc. ("San Carlos") submits this request for the removal of the "cap" on the Universal Service Fund ("USF") cost allocation support payments established by the Commission's Order adopted November 8, 1996, with respect to San Carlos's study area. The Order authorized the transfer of local exchange facilities consisting of one exchange serving 686 access lines into a new San Carlos study area. As a condition to the grant of study area waiver, the Order imposed a limitation or "cap" on USF disbursements to the San Carlos study area of \$1,079,602 per annum. Consistent with the overarching Commission policy conclusions now established by the Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration, released September 9, 1999,<sup>2</sup> San Carlos requests that its individual USF cap be removed as of January 1, 2000.<sup>3</sup>

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_ List ABCDE

Memorandum Opinion and Order, AAD 96-52, 11 FCC Rcd 14591 (1996) ("Order").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration, AAD 93-93, 95-72, 95-30, 97-21, 97-23, 97-117, 98-44, 98-53, DA 99-1845, released September 9, 1999 ("Cap Removal Order").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Although this Request references an effective date of January 1, 2000, San Carlos does not waive its right to raise issues in the future with respect to the applicability of the

San Carlos expects that many other similarly-situated companies will be seeking removal of their individual USF caps consistent with the Bureau's newly articulated policy conclusions. In order to avoid the administrative burden of repeatedly applying its new policy to a multitude of almost identical requests, San Carlos respectfully suggests that the Commission simply clarify, on its own motion, its policy by lifting the 57 remaining USF caps.

In the absence of this clarification, San Carlos respectfully requests expedited action in light of the consistency of this request with the recent policy conclusions and to accommodate the completion of the USF administration prior to January 1, 2000. In support thereof, San Carlos submits the following:

## I. Background

On April 19, 1996, San Carlos and US WEST Communications, Inc. ("US WEST") filed a joint petition for waiver of the frozen study area boundaries. On November 8, 1996, the FCC released its <u>Order</u> authorizing the transfer of one exchange from the US WEST study area and allowing San Carlos to establish a new study area in Arizona, subject to the condition that, absent explicit approval from the Bureau, the annual USF support provided to the new study area would not exceed the estimated post-upgrade amount of \$1,079,602, specified in the joint petition.<sup>4</sup> The National Exchange Carrier Association ("NECA") was ordered not to distribute USF payments exceeding the limitation.

Commission's policy or rules to prior periods beginning May 8, 1997.

Order at ¶ 14.

In the Order, the Bureau also acknowledged appropriately that

[i]t is likely that any new universal service rules will alter the method used to determine the distribution of USF support to high-cost areas, thereby changing the projected level of support to San Carlos' new study area. This, in turn, may require us to revisit these issues, and the related waiver conditions that we have established herein. <sup>5</sup>

Although San Carlos was aware in 1996 that the facilities to be purchased were substandard, and that the Bureau would likely impose a limit on USF recovery, San Carlos, nevertheless, fully expected that a rational network cost recovery application would be ultimately resolved consistent with the public interest. San Carlos believed that rational cost recovery would be possible by removal of the limit or the implementation of a new USF plan, under which the reasonable high costs would be addressed. Although the San Carlos study area's 2000 USF receipts, based on data forwarded from the Universal Service Administrative Corporation ("USAC") to the Commission on October 1, 1999, will likely be less than the \$1,079,602 cap imposed in the Order, grant of this request will allow San Carlos to proceed in coming months and years with network upgrades with the understanding that the costs incurred in providing advanced services in rural Arizona will be addressed by USF cost recovery.

II. Removal of San Carlos's Cap is Consistent with Established Commission PolicyOn September 9, 1999, the Commission issued its <u>Cap Removal Order</u>, addressing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Id.

Since the acquisition in 1996, San Carlos has replaced its switch facilities and continues to make upgrades throughout its network. San Carlos expects to more than triple its initial subscriber base by the end of the year. San Carlos has invested approximately \$13 million since 1996 and expects to made an additional \$395,190.60 in facilities over the next two years to deploy service to a new elementary school and housing developments on the San Carlos Apache Reservation.

petitions for waiver and reconsideration of the USF conditions applied to 32 study areas. While the Commission noted its policy of monitoring USF impact on carriers involved in study area changes and capping carriers at some estimate of post-upgrade costs, the Commission correctly concluded that limiting the duration of those caps is appropriate and in the public interest. Accordingly, the Commission granted petitioners' requests to lift the individual caps placed on their high cost loop support on a going-forward basis. As of January 1, 2000, the high cost loop support for the 32 study areas will then be based upon the average cost of all their lines.

The Commission acknowledged that "caps of unlimited duration may hinder petitioners' incentive and ability to extend service to previously unserved areas, as well as to upgrade service to their existing customers." The Commission also determined that "limiting the petitioners to the high cost loop support estimated in their original petitions, in perpetuity, is not necessary to accomplish the [Commission's] policies . . ." The Commission "concluded that . . . the individual caps placed on the carriers' high cost loop support have served their purpose . . ." The Commission also recognized correctly that lifting the caps on petitioners' high cost support will increase the affected LECs' incentives and ability to extend service to previously unserved areas and upgrade their networks." In a commission also recognized correctly that lifting the caps on petitioners in the cost support will increase the affected LECs' incentives and ability to extend service to previously unserved areas and upgrade their networks."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Cap Removal Order at ¶ 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Cap Removal Order at ¶ 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> <u>Id</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Id.

Cap Removal Order at ¶ 10. See also Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service:
Promoting Development and Subscribership in Unserved Areas, Including Tribal and Insular
Areas, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket No. 96-45, FCC 99-204 (rel. Sept. 3,

San Carlos's conditions are effectively identical to those petitioners addressed in the Cap Removal Order. Therefore, removal of San Carlos's individual USF cap is both warranted by, and consistent with, the Commission's conclusions and policy enunciated in the Cap Removal Order. Like the petitioners addressed in that order, San Carlos purchased an exchange several years ago and, in conjunction with its request for study area waiver, provided a reasonable estimate of the costs to upgrade the subject facilities for the provision of basic telephone service to existing and new customers. Following the grant of study area waiver, San Carlos proceeded to deploy service and upgrade the facilities according to its plans. Continuing to limit San Carlos to the high cost loop support estimated in its original petition is not necessary to accomplish the Commission's policies. Further, continued application of the individual cap imposed in November of 1996 will hinder San Carlos's incentive to continue to invest in advanced services networks and to upgrade existing service, and would jeopardize San Carlos's ability to maintain reasonably comparable rates for modern services.

#### III. Conclusion

Carlos requests that the individual USF cap established by the Commission's <u>Order</u> be removed as of January 1, 2000. Accordingly, San Carlos requests that the Commission lift the individual cap placed on its high cost loop support on a going-forward basis so that, as of January 1, 2000, San Carlos's high cost loop support payments will be based upon the average cost of all its lines. Adequate USF funding is necessary to allow San Carlos to continue to maintain and upgrade its

<sup>1999).</sup> 

facilities for the provision of universal service to its rural Arizona study area. Expedited grant of this request will serve the public interest by ensuring that San Carlos receives adequate universal service funding to recover the costs of its investment and thereby meet its current and future service requirements to residents on the San Carlos Apache Reservation.

Respectfully submitted,

San Carlos Apache Telecommunications Utility, Inc.

Bv

Stephen G. Kraskin David Cosson Margaret Nyland Its Attorneys

Steven Watkins
Telecommunications Management Consultant

Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLP 2120 L Street, NW Suite 520 Washington, DC 20037 202/296-8890

October 20, 1999

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Shelley Davis, of Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLP, 2120 L Street, NW, Suite 520, Washington, DC 20037, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing "Request for Removal of Waiver Condition Consistent with Commission Policy" of San Carlos Apache Telecommunications Utility, Inc., was served on this 20th day of October, 1999 by hand delivery to the following parties:

Shelley Davis

Lawrence Strickling, Chief Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 5-C450 Washington, DC 20554

Lisa Zaina, Acting Deputy Bureau Chief Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 5-B303 Washington, DC 20554

Irene Flannery, Chief Accounting Policy Division Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 5-A426 Washington, DC 20554

Larry Povich Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 6-A130 Washington, DC 20554

Eric Jensen
Office of Communications Bussiness
Opportunities
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW, Room 7-C250
Washington, DC 20554